Jurnal Teknologi

PATTERN OF CHILD PEDESTRIAN COLLISIONS AND INJURIES IN MALAYSIA

Nur Shaeza Darus^{a*}, Muhamad Nazri Borhan^a, Siti Zaharah Ishak^{b,d}, Rozmi Ismail^c, Siti Fatin Mohd. Razali^a

^aDepartment of Civil and Structural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Built Environment, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM), 43600 Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia ^bMalaysian Institute of Road Safety Research (MIROS), Taman Kajang Sentral, 43000 Kajang, Selangor, Malaysia ^cSchool of Psychology and Human Development, Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM), 43600 Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia dFaculty of Civil Engineering, MARA University of Technology (UITM), 40450 Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia

Article history

Received 12 June 2017 Received in revised form 30 November 2017 Accepted 15 February 2018 Published online 3 June 2018

Full Paper

*Corresponding author p72768@siswa.ukm.edu.my



Child pedestrians are the most vulnerable road users. They are at high risk involving in road traffic collision. This present study is to highlights the magnitude of road safety problem amonast child pedestrians in Malaysia. In addition, the objective of this study is to determine the pattern of child pedestrian collisions and injuries during the period 2009 to 2012. Police records of reported child pedestrian collisions and injuries in Malaysia from 1 January 2009 to 31 December 2012 were collected. The selected variables on demographic factors, injuries related to the child and crash site characteristics were extracted and analyzed. A total number of 2,243 child pedestrian casualties were reported accounted for 27.9% of all pedestrian casualties in 2009 to 2012. Over 4 years period of study, the greatest number of incidence crash consistently occurred in the state of Sabah. Most of the involved children were the young children, aged 5 to 10 years and boys most commonly involved. Majority of the victims belonged to the rural populations. The collisions were more likely occurred on the roadways with two-way traffic system with a posted speed limit of 50km/h. These findings may have important contributions to the improvement and development of road safety initiatives and interventions related to child pedestrian collision in Malaysia. Road safety strategies should be improved by introducing more targeted measures for high-risk groups based on variables that have been studied.

Keywords: Child pedestrian, collisions, injuries, high risk, road safety



Year

Abstrak

Pejalan kaki kanak-kanak adalah antara pengguna jalan raya yang paling lemah. Mereka mempunyai risiko dan kadar yang tinggi untuk terlibat dalam kemalangan jalan raya. Kajian ini adalah untuk menunjukkan masalah keselamatan jalan raya di kalangan pejalan kaki kanak-kanak di Malaysia adalah amat besar. Selain itu, objektif kajian ini juga adalah untuk menentukan corak perlanggaran dan kecederaan di kalangan pejalan kaki kanak-kanak bagi tempoh 2009 hingga 2012. Rekod perlanggaran dan kecederaan pejalan kaki kanak-kanak di Malaysia dari 1 Januari 2009 hingga 31 Disember 2012 diperolehi dari rekod pihak polis. Maklumat berkaitan dengan pembolehubah seperti faktor-faktor demografi, ciri-ciri kecederaan dan lokasi kemalangan yang berkaitan dengan kanak-kanak diperolehi dan dianalisis. Sejumlah 2,243 mangsa pejalan kaki kanak-kanak yang terbunuh dan cedera dilaporkan menyumbang sebanyak 27.9% daripada jumlah semua pejalan kaki yang terbunuh dan cedera pada tahun 2009 hingga 2012. Jumlah kemalangan yang tertinggi berlaku di negeri Sabah bagi tempoh 4 tahun berturut-turut. Sebahagian besar daripada mangsa adalah kanakkanak dalam kumpulan umur 5 hingga 10 tahun dan kanak-kanak lelaki biasanya telibat dalam kemalangan. Majoriti mangsa adalah penduduk luar bandar. Perlanggaran lebih cenderung berlaku di jalan raya yang mempunyai sistem lalulintas dua hala dengan had laju 50km/j. Penemuan ini mungkin mempunyai implikasi penting untuk memperbaiki inisiatif dan intervensi keselamatan jalan raya berkaitan perlanggaran yang membabitkan pejalan kaki kanak-kanak di Malaysia. Starategi keselamatan jalan raya perlu diperbaiki dengan memperkenalkan langkah-langkah yang lebih disasarkan untuk kumpulan berisiko tinggi berdasarkan pembolehubah yang telah dikaji.

Kata kunci: Pejalan kaki kanak-kanak, perlanggaran, kecederaan, risiko tinggi, keselamatan jalan raya

© 2018 Penerbit UTM Press. All rights reserved

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Child pedestrians are vulnerable road users. They interact together with other users in mixed road traffic situations with a variety of purposes[1]–[7]. As stated by Petch and Henson, the risk of children in a road traffic accident is greater due to the complex interactions in our transportation system. It encompasses interactions between children and driver behaviour as well as the interaction of children and the physical/social environment [8]. Children are predominantly at high risk involving in road traffic accidents due to the small physical stature and limitation of cognitive ability[1], [5]. The fact that their physical and cognitive aspects are in a state of growth and underdeveloped resulting in a high rate of involvement.

Several researchers highlighted that children's short stature limits their ability to see or be seen by other road users[5], [9], [10]. In a study conducted in German, it was found that head impact conditions, as well as injury severities, were mainly dependent on the stature of the children, the impact speed and the shape and stiffness of the car front [11]. In related to cognitive aspects, most of the work related to cognitive development is founded on Jean Piaget's Theory [5], [12]. Piaget believed that cognitive development of children takes place in stages according to certain age levels [13], [14]. In a study

done by Barton *et al.* [15] on measuring cognitive functioning, it was found that boys and younger children aged 5 to 9 years old selected riskier pedestrian routes.

Globally, World Health Organization (WHO) reported that child pedestrians form the largest group involved in road traffic collisions [4]. A report from UNECE highlighted that 32% children aged 0-15 years were killed as pedestrians in European Union [16]. Meanwhile, in a study carried out by Linnan in Asia comprised of Vietnam, Bangladesh, Thailand, Philippines, Jiangxi Province and Beijing, China found that the highest group of road traffic accidents deaths were among young child pedestrians and bicyclists [17].

In Malaysia, the statistic of fatal collision involving children is particularly worrying due to a higher rate of child pedestrian fatalities. Between 2007 to 2009, 12% of children aged 1 to 18 years old suffering from fatal road collisions were recorded in Malaysia [2]. In comparison, this figure is higher than the high-income countries with only 5–10% of fatal deaths[4]. According to Schwebel [10], road and traffic situations in lower and middle-income countries is more complex related to many factors. The children in these countries may need more developed cognitive skills to interact in road traffic situations. Hence, this present study is to highlight the magnitude of the road safety problem amongst child pedestrians in Malaysia and to determine the pattern of child pedestrian collisions and injuries during the period 2009 to 2012.

2.0 METHODOLOGY

2.1 Data Source, Variables Description and Method of Analysis

This study is a descriptive secondary data analysis of child pedestrian (≤18 years) collisions and injuries in Malaysia that occurred between 2009 and 2012. The raw data were obtained from POL-27 forms administered by the Royal Malaysian Police (RMP), Iraffic Branch. The form is used to record traffic accidents details occurred in Malaysia by manual. Then, the information is stored in the Computerized Accident Reporting System managed by RMP with the collaboration of Malaysian Institute of Road Safety Research (MIROS). The selected variables were analyzed using SPSS version 23. The variables with its sub-categories are presented in Table 1.

Table 1 List of selected variables

| Variable | Level |
|------------------|--|
| Age | continuos data |
| Gender | male and female |
| Severity | fatal, serious and slightly |
| Type of location | city, urban, built-up, rural |
| Type of area | residential, office, shopping, and others industrial/construction, bridge/ footbridge, school and others |
| Traffic system | one way, two ways, three lane and dual carriageways |

2.2 Definitions

The present study applies the definitions[18] as depicted in Table 2.

| Table 2 Lerm used defined by Royal Malaysian Police(RN |
|---|
|---|

| Term | Definition |
|-------------------|--|
| Pedestrian | Any person who is not/on a vehicle but occupying a portion of road; includes road construction workers, a person pushing a breakdown vehicle, etc. |
| Fatal | Any person who died within 30 days as a result an accident |
| Serious injury | Any person who has injured as a result of an accident as referred to section 320 of the Penal Code which includes any of the following; i. Emcisculcition; ii. Permanent privation of the sight of either eye; iii. Permanent privation of the hearing of either ear; |
| | Privation of any member of joint; |

| Term | Definition |
|----------|---|
| | v. Destruction or permanent impairing of |
| | the powers of any member of joint; |
| | vi. Permanent disfiguration of the head or |
| | face; |
| | vii. Fracture or dislocation of a bone; and |
| | viii. Any hurt which endangers life, or which |
| | causes the sufferer to be, during the |
| | space of twenty days, in severe bodily |
| | pain or unable to follow his ordinary |
| | pursuits. |
| Slightly | Any injury that does not fill under death or |
| injury | serious injury |

3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Magnitude of Child Pedestrian Casualties

Overall, there were 2,243 child pedestrian casualties were reported accounted for 27.9% of all pedestrian casualties between 2009 and 2012. Of this value, 12.9% children were killed from the remaining casualties. It was found that the child pedestrian casualties were as follows; 30.2% (2009), 29.1% (2010), 21.5% (2011) and 21.2% (2012). Between the study periods, the number of reported casualties were decreased by 9% (n=720 and n=345) in the 2009 to 2012 period. Declining trends in child pedestrian accident rates in Malaysia during the period 2009 to 2012 partly reflect the impact of the road safety intervention program implemented by Malaysia government in 2007 to 2014. The education intervention program known as Road Safety Education (RSE) program has been introduced and implemented in stages for primary and secondary school children nationwide[19]. Table 3 shows trends in reported pedestrian casualties and fatalities in Malaysia, 2009-2012

Table 3 Trends in reported pedestrian casualties and fatalitiesin Malaysia, 2009-2012

| | Reported pedestrian casualties | Cł pede casu | nild estrian valties | Reported pedestrian fatalities | Child pedestrian fatalities | | |
|-------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|--|
| | | | **P | | | **P | |
| Year | *C | *C | (B/A) | *C | *C | (D/C) | |
| | (A) | (B) | (%) | (C) | (D) | (%) | |
| 2009 | 2385 | 720 | 30.2 | 593 | 94 | 15.9 | |
| 2010 | 2161 | 629 | 29.1 | 626 | 93 | 14.9 | |
| 2011 | 1859 | 549 | 29.5 | 530 | 61 | 11.5 | |
| 2012 | 1629 | 345 | 21.2 | 530 | 46 | 8.7 | |
| Total | 8034 | 2243 | 27.9 | 2279 | 294 | 129 | |

*C=count, **P=proportion

3.2 Distribution of Child Pedestrian Collisions by State and Territory

There are 13 States and three (3) Federal Territories in Malaysia. Table 4 gives the percentage distribution of child pedestrian collisions by states and territories. Between 2009 and 2011, the highest incident rate

occurred in the state of Sabah followed by the state of Kelantan. However, the state of Sabah witnessed an increase of twice fold in child pedestrian incidence rate from 2009 (17.1%) to 2012 (36.8%). In 2012, the state of Sabah remained as the highest number of collisions followed by Sarawak (7.5%) and Perak (7.5%). The state of Sabah accounted for a greater number of child pedestrian collisions in Malaysia over 4 years' study period. Contrasting to that, a lesser number of reported collisions from the Federal Territory of Putrajaya over the same period. The dissimilarities between these two (2) states may due to differences in geography, environmental variations [20], [21] and the level of urbanization rate [22]. Malaysian Department of Statistics (DOS)[23] reported that Sabah is classified as a state with a low level of urbanization with 54% urbanization rate, whereas, Putrajaya has reached 100%. In addition, the facts that Putrajaya is a well-planned city [24] and provided with integrated public transportation, extensive shared footpath for cycling and walking activities, therefore, led to the reduction in accident rate [25].

Table 4 Distribution of child pedestrian collisions by state andFederal territory in Malaysia (2009 to 2012)

| | Year | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|------|-----|------|-----|------|------|------|
| | 2009 2010 | | | 010 | 20 | 011 | 2012 | |
| State | N | (%) | Ν | (%) | Ν | (%) | N | (%) |
| Kedah | 64 | 8.9 | 45 | 7.2 | 41 | 7.5 | 22 | 6.4 |
| Perak | 68 | 9.4 | 44 | 7.0 | 28 | 5.1 | 26 | 7.5 |
| Pulau Pinang | 32 | 4.4 | 18 | 2.9 | 11 | 2.0 | 3 | 0.9 |
| Selangor Negeri | 49 | 6.8 | 39 | 6.2 | 35 | 6.4 | 11 | 3.2 |
| Sembilan | 35 | 4.9 | 41 | 6.5 | 29 | 5.3 | 5 | 1.4 |
| Melaka | 30 | 4.2 | 16 | 2.5 | 10 | 1.8 | 3 | 0.9 |
| Johor | 56 | 7.8 | 64 | 10.2 | 52 | 9.5 | 16 | 4.6 |
| Pahang | 31 | 4.3 | 16 | 2.5 | 19 | 3.5 | 9 | 2.6 |
| Terengganu | 42 | 5.8 | 30 | 4.8 | 27 | 4.9 | 15 | 4.3 |
| Kelantan | 115 | 16.0 | 100 | 15.9 | 108 | 19.7 | 24 | 7.0 |
| Sabah | 123 | 17.1 | 136 | 21.6 | 121 | 22.0 | 127 | 36.8 |
| Sarawak | 36 | 5.0 | 31 | 4.9 | 28 | 5.1 | 26 | 7.5 |
| Perlis Federal | 8 | 1.1 | 7 | 1.1 | 7 | 1.3 | 7 | 2.0 |
| Labuan Federal Territory of | 2 | 0.3 | 4 | 0.6 | 5 | 0.9 | 6 | 1.7 |
| Kuala Lumpur Federal Territory of | 15 | 2.1 | 15 | 2.4 | 12 | 2.2 | 3 | 0.9 |
| Putrajaya | 2 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Missing value | 12 | 1.7 | 22 | 3.5 | 14 | 2.6 | 42 | 12.2 |
| TOTAL | 720 | | 629 | | 549 | | 345 | |

3.3 Profile of Child Pedestrian Casualties

This section presents the trends of child pedestrian fatalities and injuries in Malaysia over the study period and some of the collision characteristics. The number of fatal, serious, and slightly child pedestrian injuries in Malaysia is presented in Figure 1. A total of 2,243 child pedestrian collisions were reported. The annual number of all type of injuries has fallen over the study period. Between 2009 and 2010, fatalities remained stable and decreased between 2011 and 2012. However, the annual decreased in the child pedestrian injury rate are steeper for serious and slight injuries compared to fatal injuries.



Figure 1 Number of child pedestrian accidents by type of injuries in Malaysia (2009-2012)

3.4 Gender and Age

As Figure 2 depicts, boys' preponderance was observed during the study period. Between 2009 and 2012, boys had almost twice the injury rates with boys to girls' ratio of 1.7:1 (2009), 1.6:1 (2010), 2.2:1 (2011) and 1.8:1 (2012). The results conclusively identified that boys injured in greater numbers over 4 years study period. Similar results were reported abroad, such in Ireland [26], New South Wales, Australia [27], New Mexico [28] and in Manchester and Salford [29]. However, contrasting to the findings female preponderance was observed in Alberta, Canada [30]. The greater numbers of boys may due to the risk of exposure, such as long walking distance, unaccompanied journey, riskier route selection, behavioural differences, or any combination of the factors [1], [15], [31].

Figure 3 shows the percentage of child pedestrian injuries in Malaysia by age. The available data showed that child pedestrian injury rate peak was recorded in the age group of 8 to 10 years over the study period excluding the year 2012. Moreover, it was observed that children in the age 5 to 7 years fall in secondary peak between 2009 and 2011. However, in 2012, it was observed that children in the age 5 to 7 years recorded the greatest injury rate. It can be simplified that most of the road injuries were most prevalence among children aged 5 to 10 years old. Similar to that, the results are in accordance with the prior studies conducted in Scotland [32], Lima, Peru [33] and New Mexico[28]. While, Stevenson *et al.* [34] found that children in Perth, Australia, particularly at the age of 13 to 14 has the highest exposure. Whereas DiMaggio & Phil [35] found an incidence rate peaked at the age of 6 to 14 years old. The higher proportion of collisions involving children at 5 to 10 years old can be explained by the fact that children being allowed to play on the streets, unaccompanied journey, less developed physical and cognitive aspects could be contributed to the statistics [1], [3], [28].



Figure 2 Percentage of child pedestrian injuries in Malaysia by gender (2009-2012)



Figure 3 Percentage of child pedestrian injuries in Malaysia by age (2009-2012)

3.5 Type of Location and Area

The percentage of child pedestrian collisions by type of location in 2009-2012 are shown in Figure 4. It was observed that the largest incidence rate belonged to the rural population. This proportion consistently higher than the other location. During the study period, it was recorded as follows; 66.2% (2009), 63% (2010), 64.3% (2011) and 55.1% (2012). This result is in accordance with the findings reported in India [36]. However, the incidence rate in urban centres in New South Wales, Australia [27] was consistently higher. In rural, previous

studies discovered that lack of roads maintenance, poor quality of public transports, less awareness of traffic rules, higher vehicle speeds, fewer separated pedestrian facilities and limited access to a medical emergency might be the contributing factors [37].

The percentage of child pedestrian collisions in Malaysia by type of area is compared in Figure 5. The incidence rate was greater in a residential area followed by school areas over the study period. The ratio of the child pedestrian incidence rate on residential areas was about two times that in school areas from 2009 to 2012 (1.6:1 (2009), 1.6:1 (2010), 1.9:1 (2011) and 1.7:1 (2012)). Other researchers reported similar situations abroad [38]–[41]. The child pedestrian most common scenario of a crash is the child dashes in or out onto streets [1], [42], [43]. In addition, it was found that child pedestrian injuries occur mostly in residential areas specifically on the same streets as their homes [35], [39], [40], [44].



Figure 4 Percentage of child pedestrian collisions in Malaysia by type of location (2009-2012)



Figure 5 Percentage of child pedestrian collisions in Malaysia by type of area (2009-2012)

3.6 Traffic System and Speed Limit

The percentage of child pedestrian collisions in Malaysia by type of traffic system is presented in Figure 6. During this time (2009 to 2012), it shows that the crashes tend to take place on two-way system followed by one-way system. The injury incidence rate was greater on two-way roads with more than 70% between 2009 and 2012. From the results, the collisions were more likely occurred on two-way traffic system over 4 years study period. This result is consistent with the findings observed in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia [38]. Furthermore, Al-Ghamdi [38] reported that most incidents in Riyadh occurred on divided roadways (two-way with median). Factors such as higher traffic volume [38], less developed skills and incapable to execute appropriate responses [45] in transportation system might be the contributing factors to the higher incidents on two-way traffic system.

The incidence rates occur in areas categorized by speed limit can be seen in Figure 7. It demonstrates that the number was areatest in areas with a speed limit of 50km/h and the least in areas with 110km/h. This finding was similarly reported abroad in Auckland [41] and British Columbia, Canada [39]. Additionally, WHO [46] stated that 40% to 90% of fatality risk occurred on roads with an impact speed of 50km/h. The fact that a higher number of injuries occurred on roads with a posted speed limit 50km/h might be due to speeding in the area that children tend to play in or near to the residential streets [47] or in areas that children walked to and from school [42]. Profile of child pedestrian collisions and injuries in Malaysia is depicted in Table 5. There were some limitations in this study. The data used retrospective data which may be incomplete for some variables.



Figure 6 Percentage of child pedestrian collisions in Malaysia by traffic system (2009-2012)



Figure 7 Percentage of child pedestrian collisions in Malaysia by speed limit (2009-2012)

4.0 CONCLUSION

This study aims to understand child pedestrian safety issues and scenario in Malaysia. Between 2009 and 2012, the annual percentage of child pedestrian casualties accounted for 21.2% and 30.2% of all pedestrian casualties. The state of Sabah had the highest incidence rates while the Federal Territory of Putrajaya had the lowest. The most commonly involved were rural populations involving boys aged 5 to 10 years old. The present study observed most child pedestrian collisions occurred in residential areas followed by the school areas. The greatest number of the crashes occurred on roads of two-way traffic systems and the speed limit of 50km/h.

This present study highlighted the urgent need for the government and local authorities to improved road safety policies for child pedestrian. The role of local authorities is crucial in planning and implementing policies concerned with the child pedestrian safety. Pedestrian facilities should be designed based on the capabilities, limitations and behaviour of children, as young children are vulnerable and less capable to interact in complex traffic situations [1], [3], [48]. Local authorities are suggested to review the speed limit in residential areas and schools. In addition, local authorities should monitor the speed of vehicles passing through the areas. Attention must be directed to the children who live in rural areas due to the lack of pedestrian infrastructures and facilities. In addition, parents should be made aware of their responsibility to monitor the movements of their children. Spending time indoors may provide additional protection for boys[49].

| Variable | Level of variable | 2009 | | 20 | 2010 | | 2011 | | 2012 | |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------|--------------|----------|--------------|----------|--------------|----------|--------------|--|
| | | Ν | % | Ν | % | N | % | N | % | |
| Type of injury | Fatal | 94 | 13.1 | 93 | 14.8 | 61 | 11.1 | 46 | 13.3 | |
| | Serious | 220 | 30.6 | 189 | 30.0 | 153 | 27.9 | 129 | 37.4 | |
| | Slightly | 406 | 56.4 | 347 | 55.2 | 335 | 61 | 170 | 49.3 | |
| Gender | Boys | 449 | 62.4 | 390 | 62.0 | 378 | 68.9 | 222 | 64.3 | |
| | Girls | 270 | 37.5 | 237 | 37.7 | 170 | 31.0 | 121 | 35.1 | |
| | Missing value | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.6 | |
| Age | less than 2 years | 4 | 0.6 | 7 | 1.1 | 1 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.6 | |
| | 2-4 years | 78 | 10.8 | 70 | 11.1 | 68 | 12.4 | 48 | 13.9 | |
| | 5-7 years | 175 | 24.3 | 148 | 23.5 | 134 | 24.4 | 92 | 26.7 | |
| | 8-10 years | 200 | 27.8 | 153 | 24.3 | 139 | 25.3 | 72 | 20.9 | |
| | 11-13 years | 124 | 17.2 | 109 | 17.3 | 94 | 17.1 | 46 | 13.3 | |
| | 14-16 years | 99 | 13.8 | 94 | 14.9 | 80 | 14.6 | 58 | 16.8 | |
| | 17 years & above | 40 | 5.6 | 48 | 7.6 | 33 | 6.0 | 27 | 7.8 | |
| Location | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | |
| type | City | 29 | 4.0 | 37 | 5.9 | 31 | 5.6 | 9 | 2.6 | |
| | Urban Built-up area | 78 124 | 10.8 17.2 | 72 95 | 11.4 15.1 | 59 86 | 10.7 15.7 | 37 64 | 10.7 18.6 | |
| | Rural | 462 | 64.2 | 396 | 63.0 | 353 | 64.3 | 190 | 55.1 | |
| A | Missing value | 27 | 3.8 | 29 | 4.6 | 20 | 3.6 | 45 | 13.0 | |
| type | Residential | 175 | 24.3 | 145 | 23.1 | 130 | 23.7 | 64 | 18.6 | |
| ,, | Office Shopping | 26 35 | 3.6 4.9 | 22 28 | 3.5 4.5 | 25 40 | 4.6 7.3 | 2 27 | 0.6 7.8 | |
| | Industrial/construction | 6 | 0.8 | 13 | 2.1 | 14 | 2.6 | 2 | 0.6 | |
| | School | 109 | 15.1 | 8 90 | 14.3 | o 70 | 12.8 | 38 | 11.0 | |
| | Others | 350 | 48.6 | 303 | 48.2 | 250 | 45.5 | 170 | 49.3 | |
| Traffic | Missing value | 12 | 1.7 | 22 | 3.5 | 14 | 2.6 | 42 | 12.2 | |
| system | One-way | 103 | 14.3 | 91 | 14.5 | 90 | 16.4 | 38 | 11.0 | |
| | Two-way | 588 | 81.7 | 492 | 78.2 | 424 | 77.2 | 252 | 73.0 | |
| | Dual carriageway | 9 | 1.1 | 11 | 1.7 | 11 | 2.0 | 7 | 2.0 | |
| | Missing value | 12 | 1.7 | 22 | 3.5 | 14 | 2.6 | 42 | 12.2 | |
| Speed Limit | 50 | 203 | 28.2 | 165 | 26.2 | 135 | 24.6 | 65 | 18.8 | |
| | 70 | 125 | 17.4 | 113 | 18.0 | 110 | 20.0 | 49 | 14.2 | |
| | 80 90 | 3/ 70 | 5.1 9.7 | 32 66 | 5.1 10.5 | 31 55 | 5.6 10.0 | 25 37 | 7.2 10.7 | |
| | 110 | 3 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.3 | |
| | others | 270 | 37.5 | 229 | 36.4 | 202 | 36.8 | 126 | 36.5 | |
| | Missing value | 12 | 1.7 | 22 | 3.5 | 14 | 2.6 | 42 | 12.2 | |
| TOTAL | | 720 | | 629 | | 549 | | 345 | | |

Table 5 Profile of child pedestrian collisions and injuries in Malaysia (2009-2012)

Acknowledgement

Technical review by Malaysian Institute of Road Safety Research (MIROS) and financial support from Ministry of Education, Malaysia research Grant no. FRGS/1/2015/TK08/UKM/02/2 are acknowledged.

References

- [1] Assailly, J. P. 1997. Characterization and Prevention of Child Pedestrian Accidents: An Overview. Journal of Applied Development Psychology. 18(2): 257-262.
- [2] Mohamed, N., Wong, S. V., Hashim, H. H. and Othman, I. 2011. An Overview of Road Traffic Injuries Among Children in Malaysia and Its Implication on Road Traffic Injury

Prevention Strategy. 2011. Accessed on 11th June 2017 from file:///C:/Users/user/Downloads/MRR%2003-2011_An%20overview%20of%20road%20traffic%20injuries% 20among%20children_Norlen_12Oct2011.pdf.

- [3] Van der Molen, H. H., Rothengatter, J., and Vinje, M. 1981. Blueprint of an Analysis of The Pedestrian's Task. Accident Analysis and Prevention. 13(3): 175-191.
- [4] World Health Organization. 2008. World Report on Child Injury Prevention. Accessed on 11th June 2017 from http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/43851/1/97892415 63574_eng.pdf
- [5] Vinje, M. P. 1981. Children as Pedestrians: Abilities and limitations. Accident Analysis and Prevention. 13(3): 225-240.
- [6] Ferenchak, N. N. and Marshall, W. E. 2016. Redefining the Child Pedestrian Safety Paradigm: Identifying High Fatality Concentrations in Urban Areas. *Injury Prevention*. 0: 1-6.
- [7] Nakahara, S., Ichikawa, M. and Sakamoto, T. 2016. Time Trend Analyses of Child Pedestrian Morbidity in Japan. *Public Health*. 141: 74-79.
- [8] Petch, R. O. and Henson, R. R. 2000. Child Road Safety in the Urban Environment. *Journal of Transport Geography*. 8(3): 197-211.
- [9] Solagberu, B. A., Osuoji, R. I., Ibrahim, N. A., Oludara, M. A., Balogun, R. A., Ajani, A. O., Idowu, O. E., Mustafa, I. A., and Sanni, F. O. 2014. Child Pedestrian Injury and Fatality in a Developing Country. 2014. Pediatric Surgery International. 30(6): 625-632.
- [10] Schwebel, D. C. 2017. Children Crossing Streets: The Cognitive Task of Pedestrians Across Nations. Annals of Global Health. 83(2): 328-332.
- [11] Yao, J., Yang, J. and Otte, D. 2007. Head Injuries in Child Pedestrian Accidents- In-Depth Case Analysis and Reconstructions. *Traffic Injury Prevention*. 8(1): 94-100.
- [12] Schieber, R. A. and Thompson, N.J. 1996. Developmental Risk Factors for Childhood Pedestrian Injuries. *Injury Prevention*. 2(3): 228-236.
- [13] Barrouillet, P. 2015. Theories of Cognitive Development: From Piaget to Today. Development Review. 2015. 38: 1-12.
- [14] Piaget, J. 1964. Part I: Cognitive Development in Children: Piaget. Development and Learning. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*. 2(3): 176-186.
- [15] Barton, B. K., Ulrich, T. and Lyday, B. 2011. The Roles of Gender, Age and Cognitive Development in Children's Pedestrian Route Selection. *Child: Care, Health and Development*. 38(2): 280-286.
- [16] United Nations Economic Commission for Europe. 2016. UN Regulation No 129. Increasing the Safety of Children in Vehicles. For Policymakers and Concerned Citizens. United Nations, Geneva. Accessed on 26th November 2017 from https://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/trans/publications /WP29/CHILD_RESTRAINT_SYSTEMS_brochure.pdf.
- [17] Linnan, M. 2007. Child Mortality and Injury in Asia: Survey Results and Evidence. Innocentive Work. UNICEF. Paper No 3:64–87. Accessed on 11th June 2007 from http://www.unicef-irc.org/publications/482.
- [18] Royal Malaysia Police (RMP). 2014. Laporan Perangkaan Kemalangan Jalan Raya Malaysia 2014.
- [19] Malaysian Institute of Road Safety Research Centre (MIROS). 2015. Malaysian Institute of Road Safety Research (MIROS) Annual Report 2015. Accessed on 26 November 2017 from https://www.miros.gov.my/1/publications.php?id_page=2 4&id event=518.
- [20] LaScala, E. A., Gerber, D. and Gruenewald, P. J. 2000. Demographic and Environmental Correlates of Pedestrian Injury Collisions: A Spatial Analysis. Accident Analysis and Prevention. 32(5): 651-658.
- [21] Rusli, R., Haque, M., King, M. and Shaw, W. 2015. A Comparison of Road Traffic Crashes along Mountainous and Non- Mountainous Roads in Sabah, Malaysia. Australasian Road Safety Conference 2015, 14-16 October 2015, Gold Coast Convention and Exhibition Centre, Gold Coast, Qld. (In Press). Page 14-16.

- [22] Forjuoh, S. N. 2003. Traffic-related Injury Prevention Interventions for Low-income Countries. Injury Control and Safety Promotion. 10(1-2): 109-118.
- [23] Department of Statistics (DOS). 2011. Population and Housing Census of Malaysia 2010. Accessed on 11th June 2007 from https://www.dosm.gov.my/v1/index.php?r=column/cthe meByCat&cat=117&bul_id=MDMxdHZjWTk1SjFzTzNkRXYzc VZjdz09&menu_id=L0pheU43NWJwRWVSZkIWdzQ4TIhUUT0 9.
- [24] Omar, D. B. 2004. The Total Planning Doctrine and Putrajaya Development. Sustainable City lii: Urban Regeneration and Sustainability. 18: 81-90.
- [25] Moser, S. 2010. Putrajaya: Malaysia's New Federal Administrative Capital. *Cities*. 27(4): 285-297.
- [26] Hamilton, K., Macken, W., McGarvey, C., Matthews, T. G. and Nicholson, A. J. 2015. Pedestrian Deaths in Children--Potential for Prevention. *Irish Medical Journal*. 108(1): 8-11.
- [27] Doukas, G., Olivier, J., Poulos, R. and Grzebieta, R. 2010. Exploring Differential Trends in Severe and Fatal Child Pedestrian Injury in New South Wales, Australia (1997-2006). Accident Analysis and Prevention. 42(6): 1705-1711.
- [28] Olson, L. M., Sklar, D. P., Cobb, L., Sapien, R. and Zumwalt, R. 1993. Analysis of Childhood Pedestrian Deaths in New Mexico, 1986-1990. Annals of Emergency Medicine. 22(3): 512-516.
- [29] Preston, B. 1972. Statistical Analysis of Child Pedestrian Accidents in Manchester and Salford. Accident Analysis and Prevention. 4(4): 323-332.
- [30] Yiannakoulias, N., Scott, D. M., Rowe, B. H. and Voaklander, D. H. 2011. Child Pedestrian Injuries and Urban Change. Journal of The International Society for Child and Adolescent Injury Prevention. 17: 9-14.
- [31] Rivara, F. P., Bergman, A. B., LoGerfo, J. P. and Weiss, N. S. 1982. Epidemiology of Childhood Injuries, II: Sex Differences in Injury Rates. Am J Dis Child. 136: 502-206.
- [32] Pearson, J. and Stone, D. H. 2009. Pattern of Injury Mortality by Age-Group in Children Aged 0-14 Years in Scotland, 2002-2006, And Its Implications for Prevention. BMC Pediatrics. 9: 26.
- [33] Donroe, J., Tincopa, M., Gilman, R. H., Brugge, D. and Moore, D. A. J. 2008. Pedestrian Road Traffic Injuries in Urban Peruvian Children and Adolescents: Case Control Analyses of Personal and Environmental Risk Factors. *PLoS* One. 3(9): 1-7.
- [34] Stevenson, M., Jamrozik, K. and Burton, P. 1996. A Case-Control Study of Childhood Pedestrian Injuries in Perth, Western Australia. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community* Health. 50: 280-287.
- [35] Dimaggio, C. and Phil, M. 2002. Child Pedestrian Injury in an Urban Setting: Descriptive Epidemiology Child Pedestrian Injury in an Urban Setting: Descriptive Epidemiology. Academic Emergency Medicines. 9(1): 54-62.
- [36] Singh, D., Singh, S. P., Kumaran, M. and Goel, S. 2015. Epidemiology of Road Traffic Accident Deaths in Children in Chandigarh Zone of North West India. Egyptian Journal of Forensic Sciences. 4-10.
- [37] Afukaar, F. K., Antwi, P. and Ofosu-Amaah, S. 2003. Pattern Of Road Traffic Injuries In Ghana: Implications For Control. Injury Control Safety Promotion. 10(1-2): 69-76.
- [38] Al-Ghamdi, A. S. 2002. Pedestrian-vehicle Crashes and Analytical Techniques for Stratified Contingency Tables. Accident Analysis and Prevention. 34(2): 205-214.
- [39] Desapriya, E., Sones, M., Ramanzin, T., Weinstein, S., Scime, G. and Pike, I. 2011. Injury Prevention in Child Death Review: Child Pedestrian Fatalities. *Injury Prevention*. 17(Suppl 1): 4-9.
- [40] Posner, J. C., Liao, E., Winston, F. K., Cnaan, A., Shaw, K. N. and Durbin, D. R. 2002. Exposure to Traffic Among Urban Children Injured as Pedestrians. *Injury Prevention*. 8(3): 231-235.
- [41] Roberts, I. G., Keall, M. D. and Frith, W. J. 1994. Pedestrian Exposure and The Risk of Child Pedestrian Injury. *Journal of* Paediatrics and Child Health. 30(3): 220-223.

- [42] American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP). 2009. Committee on Injury Violence, and Poison Prevention. Pedestrian safety. *Pediatrics*. 124(2): 802-812.
- [43] Malek. M., Guyer, B. and Lescohier, I. 1990. The Epidemiology and Prevention of Child Pedestrian injury. Accident Analysis and Prevention. 22(4): 301-313.
- [44] Stevenson, M., Sleet, D. and Ferguson, R. 2015. Preventing Child Pedestrian Injury: A Guide for Practitioners. American Journal of Lifestyle Medicine. 1-9.
- [45] Oxley, J., Fildes, B., Ihsen, E., Charlton, J. and Day, R. 1997. Differences in Traffic Judgements Between Young and Old Adult Pedestrians. Accident Analysis and Prevention. 29(6): 839-847.
- [46] Peden, M. 2004. World Report on Road Traffic Injury Prevention. Accessed on 11th June 2017 from

http://cdrwww.who.int/violence_injury_prevention/public ations/road_traffic/world_report/intro.pdf.

- [47] El-Basyouny, K. and El-Bassiouni, M. Y. 2013. Modeling and Analyzing Traffic Safety Perceptions: An Application to the Speed Limit Reduction Pilot Project in Edmonton, Alberta. Accident Analysis and Prevention. 51: 156-167.
- [48] Briem, V. and Bengtsson, H. 2000. Cognition and Character Traits as Determinants of Young Children's Behaviour in Traffic Situations. International Journal of Behavioral Development. 24(4): 492-505.
- [49] Safe Kids Canada. 2008. Child Pedestrian Injuries Report 2007-2008. Accessed on 11th June 2017 from http://www.parachutecanada.org/downloads/injurytopic s/ChildPed_Report_07:08.pdf.